# Contents

WREM Initial Application1	
Email Script for Recruitment	25
Letter of Information and Consent -Written	26
nterviw Consent Form	28

# **NMREB** Initial Application

1.1					
1.1	already b	een reviewe al Submissi	ed by the REB and they issued rec	submission to the REB, select "Initial Submission". I ommendations, select "Response to REB recommen	
1.2	*Complete	e the Princir	pal Investidator		
	*Prefix	*First Nar	ne	*Last Name	
	Dr.	Martin		Horak	
	Address				
	City				
	Province/S	State Postco	ode/Zip		
	Telephone		x. 85002		
	*Email		mhorak@uwo.ca		

\*Western Academic Faculty/Department:

Social Sci.-Political Science

#### Hospital Department/Division:

N/A

1.3			
1.3 *Are there any a	dditional study team member	rs (from Western and/or its affiliate institutions) who	are working on this study?
	are additional study team mer tudy team members involved	nbers	
1.3 *Complete the for working on this s	-	onal study team members (from Western and or its	affiliate institutions) who are
Prefix	*First Name	*Last Name	
Mr	Elmond	Bandauko	
Address			
City			
Province/State	ON		
Postcode/Zip			
Telephone			
*Email	@uwo.ca		
1.3 *ROLE and DUT and analysis of c		is individual (e.g. John Doe - Research Assistant - ir	nvolved in recruitment, interviews
		search Paper project, pursued as a requirement for t sible for all aspects of project design and execution	

- and supervision. He has filled out the remainder of this application (which I have checked and approved) and, unless otherwise stated, all references to the researcher and the researcher's activities are references to him.
- 1.3a \*Are there additional study team members to add?
  - Yes No

1.4 *Is this study taking No	place in collaboration with anyone outside Western University and/or its affiliate institutions? Yes	
0		
۲		

1.5	
1.5	*Who is the Study Sponsor?
	<ul> <li>Industry Sponsored</li> <li>External Non-Profit External</li> <li>PI</li> <li>Local PI</li> <li>Self</li> </ul>
1.6	
1.6	*Is this a student project?
1	No         Yes-Undergraduate Yes-         Masters         ✓       Yes-PhD         Yes-Other
1.7	
1.7	*Is this research study supported by the United States federal government (including a study funded by a US government agency)?
	Yes No O
2	$\odot$
1.8	
1.8	*Enter the complete study title:
	Civic Engagement in Local Government Policy Processes: A Study of the Rethink London Plan-making Process within the Context of Collaborative Planning Framework
1.9	
-	

1.9	*What is the acronym or nickname/short title for the study? (NOTE: The acronym or nickname/short title will be used to identify the study and notifications and REB submissions.):
1.1	0
1. <sup>-</sup> 1.1	<ul> <li>*Is this study directly related to a previously approved study at this institution (e.g., is this study a sub-study, extension, rollover, subsequent to a pilot study)?</li> <li>Yes No</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
1.1	<ul> <li>*Has the study been reviewed and approved by another REB in Canada?</li> <li>Yes No</li> <li>2</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>*Does this study involve the London hospitals (see HELP text if you are unsure):</li> <li>No this study does not involve the London hospitals Yes this study involves the London hospitals and this form has been exported from ReDA.</li> <li>This study involves the London Hospitals but a ReDA submission has not been completed. NOTE: You cannot submit this application until</li> <li>submission has FIRST been completed and you exported from ReDA to WREM.</li> </ul>
*A	s this study is not taking place in the hospital, type in "Western Research Services" in the below Search User text box: Name Email Western
2.1	

2.	2.1 *Briefly describe the rationale for this study in lay language (i.e., why is this study being done)? In your response ensure to include Cite references using in-text citations where appropriate and add the reference list as a separate attachment:	relevant
U	Upload any reference sources (if applicable):	
2.2	2.2	
2.	2.2 *Indicate your general research questions and/or hypotheses:	
	<ul> <li>(a)To what extent did the London plan process exemplify the tenets of collaborative planning?</li> <li>(b) To what extent was the Rethink London inclusive of all stakeholders?</li> <li>(c) Does public engagement lead to changes in ultimate policies and plan or does it simply legitimize policies?</li> <li>(d) To what extent were stakeholders satisfied with the engagement process?</li> </ul>	
2.3	2.3	
2.	2.3 *Indicate your study design and methodology by checking off all relevant designs below:	
Date:	Quantitative Qualitative Mixed methods   Survey research   Pilot study/proof of concept Secondary data   Cross-sectional Longitudinal Randomized   Observational Experimental Community-   based   Other	
rinc		

#### 2.4 \*Describe your study procedures (i.e., how are you doing it?):

This Study is being conducted in two stages. First, I started by reviewing Literature and background papers to the Rethink London process including Council Reports and Discussion papers that were prepared out of the public engagement process of the policy-making process. I then prepared a set of questions to address the research questions. The interview questions were drafted taking into account the Collabora Planning Framework, which I intend to use as an analytical framework for this study. The interviews will be conducted with the Rethink Plan Team from the City of London's Planning and Development Division, Councillors who sit on the Planning and Environment Committee and P and Strategic Priorities Committee; the project consultant (Lura Consulting staff); Representatives of the development industry such as the Li Development Institute among others; and representatives of Residents Groups such as the Urban League of London.

The key informant interviews will be held at the respondents' offices. For example with the Rethink London Planning team, the semi-structure interviews will be done at the London City Hall. The same applies to elected officials. I will also book interview appointments with representat the development industry, community organizations, and interest groups and conduct them separately at their respective organizational offic Lura Consulting is based in Toronto and thus, I will conduct the interviews telephonically. The interview time will be approximately 45 minutes hour. During the process of data analysis, there shall be follow-ups where necessary to ensure that the research question is sufficiently and

0

2.5	*Indicate which	of the fol	lowing study	v instruments	will be	used in	this study	1
-----	-----------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------	---------	------------	---

	Paper       survey(s)/Questionnaire(s)       Online         survey(s)/Questionnaire(s)       Interview(s)       Guide         Focus group(s)       Guide       Non-participant         Non-participant       Observation       Guide
	Other (e.g., visual/auditory stimuli, data collection forms, etc.) None
*Will the	interview be audio-recorded? Yes No
*Who w	Il transcribe the audio-recordings from the interview(s)?
0	
I	will be responsible for transcribing the interviews.
*Is audio	p-recording optional or mandatory for the interview(s)?
el m O	Mandatory Optional

2.4

How will	you record the data if participants do not	agree to be audio-recorded in the interview(s)?		
١w	ould be taking notes in case the respond	ents do not give consent to be audio-recorded		
that refle	Please note that your document name will appear on the approval notices. Ensure you name your document something that reflects what the document is (e.g., debriefing script, date). Avoid using slang, student names, etc. Upload only the clean version tracked copy). Do not include "clean" in the document name.			
*Upload t	the interview guide attachment (including t	he general questions/probes):		
Туре	Name	File Name	Date	
Interview Guide	Key Informant Guide_Rethink London Plan- Making Process_Project ID_111 527	Key Informant Guide_Rethink London Plan- Making Process_Project ID_111 527.docx	13/May/2018 12:00:00 AM	
*Of the si	tudy instruments being used, clarify which	ones are not standardized instruments:		
2.6 *Doy O ©	you have any supplementary tables or fig Yes No	ures to accompany your study procedures description?		
2.7				
2.7 *Doy O ©	you have a separate protocol/research pla Yes No	an?		
2.8				
2.8 *Doe O ©	es this study include any deception or with Yes No	holding of key information?		

Date Printed: 8 June 2018 Form Reference: NMREB Initial Application - Horak Project ID: 111527 Principal Investigator:

đ

2.9 *\	Will a debriefing be given to participants in this study? Yes No
	0
	•
2	
2.10	
2.10	*Will this research take place in a K-12 classroom system or child-care system?
	O Yes No
	0
2.13	

2.13 \*What is the anticipated number of participants and/or what will be the rationale/decision-making framework for ending sampling?

I plan to interview between 10 to 12 key informants, particularly those working in the City of London's Planning and Development Division; of from Lura Consulting; Elected Councillors; Officials from the Development Industries and Interest Groups. I would end the sampling when I respondents selected represent the spectrum of the key stakeholders involved in the Rethink London process.

#### 2.14

#### 2.14 \*What are the inclusion/exclusion criteria?

The key informants to participate will be selected based on their involvement in the Rethink London process. Those that were directly involve be targeted as they will likely provide rich insights on their experiences with the civic engagement process.

#### 2.15

2.15 \*Will study participants be selected based on culture, religion, race, disability, sexual orientation, ethnicity, linguistic proficiency, sex or age?

O	Yes No
G	
2.16	

	No
C	
•	
17	
.,	
.17 *Ind	licate how the results will be communicated to participants and other stakeholders (e.g.; advocacy groups, scientific community):
To Partic	cipants
	Group Debriefing End of Study Letter Publication
	Participants will be invited to contact researchers No Plan
	Other
To Othe	r Stakeholders:
N	
	Thesis/Dissertation Presentation(s) Publication
	Other No plan
Specify	Other:
	search Report

5

#### 3.1

3.1 \*What recruitment material(s)/method(s) are being used? (select all that apply): None Γ Brochures, flyers, posters Newspaper ad Radio ad Telephone call script(s) Email script(s) Website (e.g. Facebook, Twitter) Video (recordings will not be reviewed without scripts) In-person recruitment Recruitment database (e.g. SONA) Third-party organization or recruitment company Survey Panel (e.g. Mechanical Turk) Snowball sampling Other Г Г  $\square$ Г

3.1.6a \*Specify how you have access to or will obtain potential participants' email addresses:

Publicly available contact information on the City of London's website, Lura Consulting and on the organizational websites of other stakehol selected will be used to make preliminary contact.

3.1.6b \*Specify who is making initial contact:

I will be making the initial contact myself.

3.1.6c \*Does the person making initial contact have a relationship with the participant?

© Yes No

Please note that your document name will appear on the approval notices. Ensure you name your document something that reflects will is (e.g., debriefing script, date). Avoid using slang, student names, etc. Upload only the clean version here (i.e., not the tracked copy "clean" in the document name.

3.1.6f \*Upload email script:

Tupo Namo Eilo Namo Dato Vor 4.1

4.1 \*Is a waiver of the requirement to obtain informed consent being requested for this study?

- O Yes No
- $\odot$

- 4.2 \*Indicate the age and/or decision-making capacity of your participants to determine what type of consent is needed (select all that apply):
  - Participants are persons aged 18 or older who do not have diminished capacity. Only participant consent is required. Participants are u  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
  - (age is not relevant). Only participant consent is required.
  - Participants are aged 13-17 and I will be seeking participant consent only. I do not wish to seek parental/guardian consent. Participants
  - will be seeking both parental/guardian consent and participant assent.
  - Participants are aged 7-12. Both parental/guardian consent and participant assent are required.
  - Participants are under the age of 7. Parental/guardian consent is required, but formal assent is not required.
  - Participants have diminished capacity (age is not relevant). I will be seeking Substitute Decision Maker (SDM) consent and participant

4.2

4.3 \*Which of the following forms of consent/assent will be used? (select all that apply):

- Written consent/assent (this is the default option recommended by TCPS II; it provides clear documentation of consent/assent) Verbal of telephone interview)
- Implied consent/assent (e.g., checking an explicit box indicating consent, accessing the survey, etc.)

Please note that your document name will appear on the approval notices. Ensure you name your document something that reflects v is (e.g., debriefing script, date). Avoid using slang, student names, etc. Upload only the clean version here (i.e., not the tracked cop "clean" in the document name.

#### \*Upload clean versions of all applicable written letters of information and consent and/or assent forms:

Туре	Name	File Name	Date	Ve
Written	LOI and Consent	LOI and Consent form 111527_Rethink	08/Jun/2018	0

4.4

Γ

#### 4.4 \*Is there a relationship between the potential participant and the person obtaining consent?

O	Yes No	
G		

-	-	5
-	-	-

4.5 \*Given your study sample, is it likely that participants may have communication difficulties (e.g., who may need translation, who are illiterate, who may have trouble understanding or producing speech) and who may require special support?

	Yes No C
	0
5.1	
5.1	*Are there any direct benefits to study participants?
	C Yes No
	G
5.2	

5.3	
5.3	Are there any foreseeable potential risks, harms, vulnerabilities or inconveniences as a result of participating in this study?
5.4	
5.4	*Is there a foreseeable likelihood that, in the course of this research, you will acquire information that is legally required to be reported (for example, abuse or neglect of a child, reports of harm to self or others, etc.)? Yes No
6.1	
6.1	Based on the information in the Data Security and Confidentiality-Guidance Document, are you collecting any information for the purposes of this study (e.g., including written consent) that could reveal the participant's identity (i.e., directly or indirectly)? (see help text): Yes No
6.2	

#### 6.2 \*Identify all identifiable information that will be collected for this study. (Select all that apply):

- Full Name Initials Address
- Full Postal Code Partial Postal Code
- Telephone Number Email Address
- □ Full Date of Birth Partial Date of Birth IP
- Address
- Audio Recording (i.e., any recording of voice)
- Video Recording (i.e., any recording of a person and/or identifiable environment such as a home) Photographs (i.e., any
- photograph of a person and/or identifiable environment such as a home) Student Number
- C Other
- Γ

\*Justify Full Name:

- V

The full name shall only be collected for communication and consent purposes only and will not be attached to interview transcripts

\*Justify Telephone Number:

The Telephone will be used to make follow up calls in case there might be information gaps during the data analysis and report writing stage

\*Justify Email Address:

The email address will be used for scheduling interviews, that is sending the interview invitation as well as to collect signed consent in the cas of telephone interviews

\*Justify Audio Recording:

Audio Recording of interviews will be useful in capturing the entirety of the discussion, without losing any useful information.

#### 6.3 \*Indicate how study participants will be identified in the study records (e.g., study number, pseudonym):

I will use labels such as 'municipal official 3'

6.4

6.4 \*Will there be a unique code linking identifiers to the study participant? Yes

No

\*Explain why the study data must remain identifiable:

Participants' identifiers are kept confidential and not directly attached to data. That is a Master List will be used to list the participants' identifia information and labeled by their unique ID such as municipal official as stated in 6.3.

6.5

6.5 \*Indicate the extent to which the study participant is able to withdraw their data from the research study and any limitations on the withdrawal:

The participants are free to call me if they feel like withdrawing their information/data at any given point.

#### 6.6

6.6 \*How will study participants' data be reported in the dissemination of results (e.g., aggregated data, identifiable descriptors, deidentified descriptors, co-authors, direct quotes, etc.):

I will use generic labels such as 'municipal official'

#### 7.1

7.1 "\*Will you be physically transporting or electronically transmitting any [identifiable or de-identified] study records outside Western and/or its affiliate institutions? (e.g., audio recordings, questionnaires, interview transcripts, signed consent forms, etc.):

G	Yes No				
0					

7.2

7.2 \*Will the transportation or transmission of study records conform to the requirements of the Data Security and Confidentiality-Guidance Document, Section A - Transportation and Transmission of Study Records?

•	Yes No	
0		

#### 7.3

Date Printed: 8 June 2018 Form Reference: NMREB Initial Application - Horak Project ID: 111527 Principal Investigator:

7.3	*Will any individuals/groups/organizations outside of the study team have access to identifiable study records? Yes No
	0
8.1	
8.1	*How are you storing your study records?
	<ul> <li>Paper Electronic</li> <li>Both (Paper and Electronic)</li> <li>C</li> </ul>
	•
8.2	
8.2	*Will the storage of study records conform to the Data Security and Confidentiality-Guidance Document, Section B - Storage, Retention and Destruction of Study Records?
	Yes No C
8.3	
8.3	*Will someone other than the local Principal Investigator be retaining the study data? C Yes No C
8.4	
8.4	*Confirm that the study records will be retained by the PI for a minimum of 7 years as per regulatory guidelines (e.g., granting agency guidelines):
8.5	
8.5	*Will you be retaining identifiable information for longer than 7 years?
	O Yes No
	$\odot$
9.1	

9.1 *	*Will participants receive any of the following? (select all that apply): Compensation for participation Incentives for participation (e.g., performance-based) Reimbursement for expenses that
	participante will esserue Entry into a draw
	None of the above
	Г
10.1	
10.1	*Is this study funded?
	C Yes No
	େ
11.1	
11.1	*Will the PI or Co-Investigator(s) or anyone connected to them though their interpersonal relationship (including their partners, family members, or their former or current professional associates) receive any personal financial benefit in connection with this study?
	Yes No
	C
11.2	
11.2	*Will the PI or Co-Investigator(s) or anyone connected to them through their interpersonal relationships (including their family members, friends, or their former or current professional associates) receive any personal (financial or otherwise) benefits including patent property rights, royalty income, employment, share ownership, stock options, etc?
	Yes No
11.3	
11.3	*Is the PI or Co-Investigator(s) aware of any other community relationships, academic interests, financial partnerships, or economic interests (e.g., spin-off companies in which researchers have stakes or private contract research outside of the academic realm) incentives that may compromise their integrity, independence or ethical duties in the conduct of the research?
	Yes No
	0
	6
_	
Date Printed: 8 Ju	
Form Reference: Project ID: 11152	NMREB Initial Application - Honak 27

Page 20 of 20

PrincipalInvestigator

11.4

11.4	* Is the PI or Co-Investigator(s) aware of any institutional conflicts of interest (financial or non-financial) that may have an impact on the rese
	Yes No
	с
	G
11.5	
11.5	*Does the PI or Co-Investigator(s) or anyone connected to them through their interpersonal relationships (including their family members, friends, or their former or current professional associates) have any proprietary interest in the product under study or in any enti- otherwise supporting the conduct of the study?
	Yes No C
11.6	
11.6	*Will or does the PI or Co-Investigator(s) or anyone connected to them through their interpersonal relationships (including their family members, friends, or their former or current professional associates) have any association or connection with an entity that is sponso interested in the outcome of the study? (e.g., consultant, advisor, board member, employee, director, etc.)
	Yes No
	С
11.7	
11.7	*Are there any other real, potential or perceived conflicts of interest to declare to the REB?
	C Yes No
	•
12.1	

#### 12.1 12.1 \*Upload Principal Investigator Response to REB request for modification letter (if applicable):

Туре	Name	File Name	Date
REB Response	Response to REB Recommendations_Martin_Horak_Elmond Letter Bandauko_Project ID_111527	Response to REB Recommendations_Martin_Horak_Elmond Bandauko_ProjectID_111527.docx	15/May/2018 12:00:00 AM
REB Response	RESPONSE to REB Recommendations_Martin_Horak_Elmond Letter Bandauko_Project ID_111527	RESPONSE to REB Recommendations_Martin_Horak_Elmond Bandauko_ProjectID_111527.docx	27/May/2018 12:00:00 AM
REB Response	RESPONSE to REB Recommendations_Martin_Horak_Elmond Letter Bandauko_Project ID_111527 (1)	RESPONSE to REB Recommendations_Martin_Horak_Elmond Bandauko_Project ID_111527 (1).docx	08/Jun/2018 12:00:00 AM

#### 12.2

#### 12.2 If changes have been made to a previously submitted consent/assent form at the request of the REB, please upload trackchanges versions of all proposed consent and/or assent forms (e.g. screening, main, optional), if applicable:

Туре	Name	File Name	Date	Vei
Tracked Changes	LOI and Consent form_Project	LOI and Consent form_Project	08/Jun/2018	3
Document	ID_111527	ID_111527.docx	12:00:00 AM	

#### 12.3

12.3 If changes have been made to a previously submitted study instruments/stimuli (e.g., survey, questionnaire, interview guide, focus group guide, observation guide, etc.) at the request of the REB, please upload the track-changes version(s):

Туре	Name	File Name	Date V
Tracked Changes	Revised Areas of Inquiry_Rethink	Revised Areas of Inquiry_Rethink London policy Process_111527.docx	13/May/2018
Document	London policy Process_111527		12:00:00 AM 2

#### 12.4

12.4 If changes have been made to a previously submitted recruitment material at the request of the REB, please upload trackchanges version(s):

#### 12.5

Date Printed: 8 June 2018 Form Reference: NMREB Initial Application - Horak Project ID: 111527 Principal Investigator: 12.5 If changes have been made to a previously submitted other participant materials (e.g., debriefing document, screening document, etc.), at the REB, please upload track-changes version(s):

#### 12.6

12.6 Upload any additional materials requested by the REB (if applicable):

#### 12.7

12.7 Provide any additional comments for the REB to consider (if applicable):

#### 13.1

13.1 \*Please confirm that if this is the first time you are submitting this particular application form to the REB, select "Initial Submission". If this application form has already been reviewed by the REB and they issued recommendations, select "Response to REB recommendations":

Initial Submission

C Response to REB recommendations

13.3

G

#### 13.1 \*Principal Investigator OR Delegate Signature:

#### The

# Principal Investigator may choose to sign off electronically on all re-submissions(i.e., response to REB recommendations) or he/she may delegate this task to another qualified individual. NOTE: The PI is still fully responsibility for the scientific and ethical conduct of the study at this

#### institution.

- I attest that the is application as submitted is in compliance with the TCPS (2nd edition of Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans); AND with all other applicable laws, regulations or guidelines:
- I attest that, to the best of my knowledge, the
- information in this application is complete, current and accurate; I attest that this application contains the current and
- complete protocol, including, if applicable, any sub-studies;
- I acknowledge that I am responsible for promptly
- reporting any of the following to the REB: modifications or amendments, such as changes in PI, changes in Co-investigator (if applicable), specific required changes to
- the Letter of Information/consent form, etc.;
   all local reportable events that meet the REB
   reporting criteria, including but not limited to local unexpected,
   serious adverse events (SAEs), privacy breaches, protocol deviations and
   any new information that may adversely affect the safety of the
- participants or significantly affect the conduct of the study; progress report (renewal/ continuing review form),
- annually or as often as requested by the REB;
- completion or termination (e.g., End of Study Form);

I certify that REB approval and all external and local institutional approvals will be obtained before the study will commence;

I certify that the research team will adhere to the protocol and consent form as approved by the REB unless to eliminate an immediate safety hazard to participants and in accordance with any conditions placed on the REB approval;

I certify that all information provided in this

application represents an accurate description of the conduct of the

#### study.

## Privacy and Security

Acknowledgement:

On behalf of all members of my research team, I recognize the importance of maintaining the confidentiality of personal health information (DIII)/(Demotion (DI)) and the personal health

information (PHI)/Personal Information (PI) and the privacy of individuals with respect to that information;

I will ensure that the PHI/PI is used only as necessary, to fulfill the specific study objectives and related study questions described in the application approved by the REB. This includes all conditions and restrictions imposed by the REB and the institution in which the study is being conducted, governing the use, security,

 disclosure, return or disposal of the study participants' personal information;

I agree to take any further steps required by the REB or the institution to ensure that the confidentiality and security of the Freedom of Information Protection of Privacy Act (FIPPA), its accompanying regulations, and the Tri-Council Policy Statement.

Signed: This form was signed by Dr. Martin Horak (mhorak@uwo.ca) on 08/Jun/2018 14:29

#### **Email Script for Recruitment**

#### Subject Line: Invitation to participate in research

Dear [insert name],

I would like to invite you to participate in a study that I am conducting. [NB: This recruitment e-mail will be sent by me]. Briefly, the study focuses on the Rethink London, 'a community engagement process that was used in the review of the City of London's Official Plan. It aims to assess the extent to which the Rethink London public engagement process exemplifies the tenets of collaborating planning, how inclusive the process was; the contributions and degree of influence of different actors among other themes.

I would like to conduct an interview with you, lasting 45 minutes to one hour, to discuss your insights and experiences regarding the planning and development of [*insert name of relevant project experiences– e.g. Design of the Rethink London community engagement plan*] in London. We can conduct the interview in person at a time and place that we mutually agree on, or – if preferable to you – over the phone.

I hope you will be willing and able to participate in this research. If you are interested in participating, please read the attached letter of information and then get in touch with me by e-mail or telephone so that we can arrange a time and place for the interview. I look forward to the prospect of speaking with you and hearing your knowledge and insights.

Thank you,

Elmond Bandauko, Graduate Student Department of Political Science, University of Western Ontario Institutional email (i.e. @uwo.ca) Tel:

Supervisor: Professor Martin Horak Associate Professor, Department of Political Science University of Western Ontario Tel: 519.661.2111 ext. 85002 Email: <u>mhorak@uwo.ca</u>

#### Letter of Information and Consent

Project Title: Civic Engagement in Local Government Policy Processes: An Assessment of Rethink London Plan-making Process within the Context of Collaborative Planning Framework Researcher's Name: Elmond Bandauko Department of Political Science, The University of Western Ontario Mobile: 226 504 8118 Email: ebandauk@uwo.ca Supervisor: Prof. Martin Horak Associate Professor, Department of Political Science The University of Western Ontario Tel: 519.661.2111 ext. 85002 Email: mhorak@uwo.ca *NOTE: Text in this letter that is subject to change for different interview subjects is noted in square brackets [ ...].* Dear [*insert name*],

My name is Elmond Bandauko I am a graduate student in the Department of Political Science at the University of Western Ontario. Under the Supervision of Professor Martin Horak (Associate Professor in the Department of Political Science, University of Western Ontario), I am conducting an academic study on the Rethink London public engagement process. I would like to conduct an interview with you to discuss your insights and experiences regarding the Rethink London process.

The study that I am conducting aims to understand the extent to which Rethink London exemplifies the tenets of collaborative planning and a broader understanding of the design of and implementation of the engagement process. I seek to understand how this process has been successful and effective in terms of the following factors (i) inclusive representation (ii) principled negotiation & respect (iii) effective process management, (iv) facilitation of the process (v) purpose & incentives of the engagement process (vi) voluntary participant and commitment and accountability As part of the study, I am conducting confidential interviews with key individuals, such as you, who have been involved in the Rethink London community engagement process. I have selected you because [*insert basis for selection, be it documentary information or referral by another interview subject – eg., your professional involvement as a technical lead on Rethink London provides inside perspective on the process in terms of experiences, challenges and success stories*] Given your experience as [*mention relevant policy involvement, eg.,involvement in designing the Rethink London community engagement plan*], I would like to focus our discussion in particular on the following issues: [*complete as appropriate – e.g.*, Page 2 of 5 08/06/2018

1. Your assessment of key actors and their roles in Rethink London Community Engagement process in 2011-2013; 2. Your understanding of the recruitment approaches used in recruiting participants for the various community conversations and visioning sessions].

I hope that the interview will provide you with the benefit of a useful opportunity to reflect on the issues I mention above. The results of this research will be used to prepare a Masters Research Paper. The results of this research are likely to enhance my understanding of collaborative policy-making processes and how different stakeholder interests play out

during the engagement process and the impact of such on decision-making. If you would like the copy of the Research Report made available to you, please let me know during the interview and I will be happy to do that.

I ask for about 45 minutes to an hour of your time. The interview will be confidential, and you will not be identified by name in the resulting published work, but rather by a descriptive label that you will help choose at the end of the interview (eg., "municipal official"). I will do all I can to protect your anonymity as a research subject, although there is a chance that some readers may be able to identify you based on views cited in the resulting written work. I anticipate no risks to you as a result of participating in the interview. The researcher will keep any personal information about you in a secure and confidential location for 7 years. A list linking your descriptive label with your name, email address and telephone number will be kept by the researcher in a secure place, separate from your study file. . Representatives of The University of Western Ontario Non-Medical Research Ethics Board may require access to your study-related records to monitor the conduct of the research.

I would like, with your consent, to make an audio recording of our interview to ensure that I have a wholly accurate record of it. The interview shall be held at the offices at the time we shall agree. There might be potential for follow up interviews during the data analysis phase of the research process in case I might need additional information to adequately address the research questions.

You will be asked at the start of our interview to confirm whether you consent to audio recording. If you decline recording, notes will be taken. The information that you share with me in the interview will be used only for the purposes of this study. Audio recordings will be stored in encrypted form on a password-protected computer. These recordings will be retained indefinitely. If at any point in the future I would like to draw on the information you give us for future academic work, I will contact you in advance to request your consent to do so.

If you agree to be interviewed, you will speak with me who has sent you the e-mail invitation to participate in this study. Page 3 of 5 08/06/2018

Participation in the interview is voluntary. You may refuse to participate, refuse to answer any questions or terminate the interview at any time. You do not waive any legal right by consenting to this study.

On the next page of this document, you will find a consent form. If you agree to conduct an interview in person, you will be asked to sign the form before the interview begins. In case of a telephone interview, I will request you to sign the consent form and return via email. This is only for phone interviews. For face to face interviews, I will bring a copy of the consent form for you to sign at the beginning of the interview.

Many thanks in advance for considering this request. If you have any questions at all, please feel free to contact me using the following information.

Yours sincerely,

Elmond Bandauko Graduate Student, Master of Public Administration (MPA) Department of Political Science, Local Government Program University of Western Ontario Cell: e-mail: Institutional (i.e. @uwo.ca) Supervisor: Professor Martin Horak Associate Professor, Department of Political Science University of Western Ontario Tel: 519.661.2111 ext. 85002 Email: mhorak@uwo.ca If you have any questions about your rights as a research subject you may contact: Office of Human Research Ethics The University of Western Ontario Tel: 519-661-3036 Email: ethics@uwo.ca This letter is yours to keep for future reference

## Civic Engagement in Local Government Policy Processes: An Assessment of Rethink London Plan-making Process within the Context of Collaborative Planning Framework

### **Interview Consent Form**

I I. I have read the information letter sent to me, have had the nature of the study explained to me and I agree to participate. All questions have been answered to my satisfaction.

I II. I consent to the recording of this interview \_(check here)

I do not consent to the recording of this interview \_\_\_\_\_ (check here)

I III. I consent to being identified by my role in the final report (e.g., municipal official or stakeholder) ...... (check here)

I do not consent to being identified by my role in the final report (e.g., municipal official or stakeholder) ...... (check here)

I IV. I consent to the use of direct quotations in the final report......(check here)

I do not consent to the use of direct quotations in the final report ..... (check here)

I V. I consent to the use of information provided for future academic work...... (check here)

I do not consent to the use of information provided for future academic work.... (check here)

I VI. I wish to be identified directly in dissemination of research findings...... (check here)

I do not wish to be identified directly in dissemination of research findings...... (check here)

Interview Participant	
Signature	
Date	
Interniousen	

Interviewer	
Signature	 
Date	